

DELHI UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Cl No (0,5264,7	7	<u> </u>	
Ac. No. This book	HE : should be returned	ed on or before	Date of roles	se foa lean stambed
below. A	n overdue charge book is kept overti	of 5 Paise Will		

THE BRITISH ACADEMY

- NNUAL SHAKESPEARE LECTURE 1916

hakespeare after Three Hundred Years

By

J. W. Mackail

Fellow of the Academy

NEW YORK

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Anili'' in Dig Kali. 95 What 80ad Street LONDON, TORONFO, MELBOUR VE, AND BOMBAY HUMPHREY M'LFORD amiss to make it one of mere customary and respict.
For the time is one which calls on us to revise all or calls on us to discard our formulae and break duride.

Disrobe the images If you do find them deeked with cerepanie

With what we have loved, as well as with what accepted, this revaluation has to be made. broidery have for ages worked in or round that both processes may seem, for the time being exhaustion, it is worth while to try to stand back ourselves what Shakespeare really was, and what turies he really is. For doing so, the time is double an and research have accumulated, one may say with confidence all the facts that are of any importance, besides many there that are of nonc; and have not only accumulated with whiched Sir Sidney Lec's Life in its later to an assorted them mental tribute to the anniversary which we are e the tribute and sets forth the ascertained and ascertainable matiral the appreciation, the vital interpretation of Shake bear limit can be put; for the secret of art is never to be worker Yet even in this we may make a pause, and ask how, it is matter stands.

'Let not my love be called idolatry,' Shakespare's Sonnets, 'or my beloved as an idol shew.' It is a contract borne in mind. 'Idolatry of Shakespeare,' said Gibbon. stately detachment which is often mistaken for services cated from our infancy as the first duty of an Reliant canonization had already begun when Jonson brown with his petulant but not unreasonable protest, 'I loved the own and the honour his memory on this side idolatry as much a any. became a fixed doctrine within a century. Dryden had also, dy given his magnificent praise; Pope, with a fine and discriminating touch, noted that 'men of judgment think they distribution more scrvice in praising him justly than lavishly.' 'he addyand the words are an anticipatory comment on next later Shake-spearian criticism— are always afraid of envy; but sure the have as much reason to be afraid of admiration Yet Pope himself says of him, in words no less true than noble, that the is not so much an imitator as an instrument of nature, and this not so just to say he speaks from her, as that she spoke through him?

Upon the enthusiasm of the eighteenth century, spanniated as it advanced into that fixed idolatry recorded by within, came 'se

If more fully equipped critics, and then the new idolatry mantic revival. That revival, like all revolutions, had prepared for, and, like all successful revolutions, resulted different from what its authors meant. Its results peare, when it wrought out its effect, were twofold. hand it quickened interest, and opened out regions in till then had been left unexplored. On the other hand him into something supernaturally inspired and mystericcable. Behind Coleridge and Hazlitt came up the army ders, prophets of their enthroned divinity. It was not hat they should show Shakespeare to be, what he was, an stagecraft, a master of language, the wielder of a versificatched for bright speed and supple strength. It was not what they should reaffirm him to be an instrument of the must needs be also a profound thinker, a great teacher, in whose works may be found the key to all problems, wintessence of human wisdom. Nothing less than uniledge, nothing short of a doctrine and a message on all s which concern life, was claimed for one who was and believed to be, in Coleridge's phrase, 'myriadnd supernaturally gifted: 'the guide and the pioneer' 's words again) 'of true philosophy.' In him, as in a chools found what they sought.

The ess provoked its own reaction. Shakespeare the idol had such prodigious proportions that he began to topple otion led to research; research raised doubts and started e process of destructive criticism began. Under a misof scientific method, the Shakespearian environment weather to swamp Shakespeare. The invention of new criteria it deliming authorship in writings of mixed composition led to the cari-agaries of the New Shakspere Society, in which most of play were taken away from him and parcelled out among a design of a contemporaries. The width of knowledge assigned to the by he idolaters misled a school, which still subsists, instead of the premises, to draw from them a yet more preposterous conclusion.

form idolatry keeps breaking out in fresh forms, even more geant and fantastical. The illusion of reality in Shakespeare's is so powerful that they are thought of as existing district apart from the plays themselves; as though Shakespeare bal suppressed or falsified material facts about them, as the action in the plays had been misconceived by him, or fragment only of some larger whole which our superior

insight enables us to reconstitute. Like the conjecture ginendations of a text based on the inquiry not what the author state but what he ought to have written, these conject real areas and reconstitutions offer a large playground. The state of the sta

He is their God: he leads them like Made by some other deity than natural That shapes men better.

it might gently be answered:

A rarer spirit nev Did steer humanity; but you Gods Some faults to make us men.

To the newer theorists it may rather be said more sharped;

With what's unreal thou coaddle art. And fellow'st nothing.

To recall criticism from such extravagance, it is only accessive to notice facts. The 'spaciousness' of the Elizabethan age is largely an illusion. It was a period of material, puns in ad of intellectual activity; but it was also one of conjuction, of low morality and debased art. Humanism had not struck deep in England. The reformation carried out by the later in England. The reformation carried out by the later in the phrase of an eminent historian, laid its founded as in the murder of the English Erasmus, and set up its gates in the blood of the English Petrarch. In the year when Sinks pear pame to London, what was left of the English Renaissan a dien with Sidney. The provincial middle class to which Shakespane belonged inherited, as they transmitted, the insular virtue of easy-going good temper, and the insular failings of grospess, lovenliness, and

The first of the Shakespeares mentioned in records was the first mention of Shakespeare's own father is of his for the first and the dual intestate in a muddle of petty embarrassments.

a hiftless family in a decaying little country town form to float with the stream.

the did so; and in that lies the paradox, and in some the transfer to last he

the carriers force and forceless care if the lock, in very spite of cunning.

hich he floated he took always at the flood. He not because none was worth his strife, but To use a Homeric simile) like an to cont, at every point in close touch and engagement. and with no friction. By native instinct he takes the t resistance, adapting himself to fashion and circumcomplete flexibility. When still a boy, he accepts unremaxinge arranged for him by Anne Hathaway's relaree vers later he slips away, leaving his 'clog'—it is sed by Autolycus of Perdita-behind. He launches on e, and takes to it like a duck to water. The 'moral "which-has been noted in the Elizabethan drama was stage and audience. But among actors and playwrights imparied by an actual immorality which excused it it tily the strictures of the Puritans, and the repeated but attempts of the Privy Council to close the theatres altoto mise ble and of Greene, the more trugic and not less th of the love a few months later, were prologues to the in a and and give a lurid register of the soil and atmosich the Bhakesperian drama came to being:

Things outward Do deaw the inward quality after them To sufer all alike.

a turbed sea of life Shakespeare finds himself.

crything he sees and he are and touches, always realized by and doing everything well. Poetry was in faction and advants, in the frentest of his great acquaintances, a dissult

young lord of nineteen; the theatres being closed for the plague, he follows up that first adventure with Lucrece, but never afterwards publishes a line. Two months after his only son's deathan unusual time for such a thing-he applies for a coat of arms, and next spring buys New Place. Then the current takes a new turn, and he with it; he goes back to his professional work, not ' now as an assistant, but as a manager, for ten years more. then he had come to the time of life when people begin to prefer comfort to pleasure, and to know what they do not want. But there was more in it than that. Puritanism was becoming the rising force in England. 'John Hall, Susanna's husband, was a strong Puritan; and not only did she adopt her husband's way of thinking, but Shakespeare himself acquiesced in it. At his entertainments of Puritan preachers in New Place one seems to hear him saying, 'I'll ne'er be drunk whilst I live again, but in honest, civil, godly company: if I be drunk, I'll be drunk with those that have the fear of God.' A conformist by instinct, he conformed to the ways of Stratford as he had done to the ways of London. Yet local chatter was not silenced. It breaks out in the loose gossip that 'he died a Papist,' and survives in the curiously subacid flavour of the lines written long after on Susanna's tombstone beside her father's:

> Witty above her sexe, but that's not all, Wise to salvation was good Mistris Hall; Something of Shakespeare was in that, but this Wholy of him with whom she's now in blisse.

Shakespeare himself, the suggestion is, was not of the company.

The epithets 'sweet,' 'pleasant,' 'gentle,' habitually applied to him by his contemporaries, imply this flexibility of soft manners and far from rigid morals, as do the few anecdotes of him which have any claim to authenticity. They show him at all events as one who was acquiescent and not assertive, who avoided controversy, who chose the easiest way. And this brings us up to a point which has been so far neglected or missed that it may seem, if baldly stated, not only paradoxical but shocking. At forgotten artist of the last century, stumbling in his simplicity upon what had eluded wiser heads, and what would be angrily or contemptuously thrust aside by Shakespeare's idolaters, put it with startling clearness in four words: 'Shakespeare was like putty.' 'Shakespeare was like putty to everybody and everything: the willing slave, pulled out, patted down, squeezed anyhow, clay to every

potter, But he knew by the plastic hand what the nature of the moulder was.'

That is true; and it is essential to true appreciation. At the much this thin shaft of light, the facts rearrange themselves, the plane areaightens itself out. One begins to see how it might be that a like he was generally classed as only one among others, and that his death-a thing that has often moved wonderpassed shally unnoticed, and did not call forth. in that copiously eligiac age, a single line of elegy. He did not impress his contemporaties greatly. Very likely we also might find him quite unimpressive, simply because he would not be occupied in impressing us, He would be doing something quite different: taking our impression. Shakespeare had le don terrible de la familiarité; every lene's end, every shop, church, session, hanging, yields a careful man work' 'He hath known you but three days,' says Valentine to Viola of the Duke, 'and already you are no stranget !: with Shakespeare, it would have taken three minutes. Not a work, not a humour, not a quality, but he immediately took its impress. On that amazing sensitive-plate were recorded every lineament of body and mind. 'all forms, all pressures past, that youth and observation copied there.' In that even more amazing leveloping room the records were put together, and were reeled and to at the give the vibrating effect of life, yet of a life swifter, lenser, more vill than that of our own actual experience. will he could set that film-world of impressions into motion, could make ite de a suffer, act, think or feel, exult or suffer, as though they were really alive.

Sine ira.et studio, the lofty ideal of the historian, was for such fighty almost a matter of course. Nothing in Shakespeare is more remarkable than his conspicuous fairness to all his characters. He has no favo nites; he has, one may even say, no antipathies. That fairness, that clarity of representation, is the index partly of an indulgrat temper, but more largely of a sensitiveness which is in touch with the whole of life, not intermittently but continuously, a drawatic or (to use the Greek term) imitative power with never 1 ps. His attitude towards his own creations -Shylock for 10 no, or Falstaff-has been warmly debated; really, he has no attitude towards them; he gives us them for what they are: with their virtues and vices, their strength and weakness, wither isolated not opmented upon, but recorded. With these. is with others, we must end by taking them as they are given. Generally, in all shapes that man goes up and down in, from fourscore to thirteen, this spirit walks in.' From four-core to

thirteen; from Lear to Juliet! We are hardly justified in saying that Shakespeare hates even his villains, or loves even his heroines. Lady Macbeth, even if not what she has been lately called by a diligent Shakespearian student, 'a sunny, bright, dainty little woman,' is, as Mr. Bradley has pointed out, 'up to her light, a perfect wife.' The Queen in Cymbeline is, with the same reservation, a perfect mother. King John can retain to the end the absolute loyalty of Faulconbridge. Edmund was beloved. The one figure in Shakespeare for whom Shakespeare shows something like antipathy is Iago; and Iago is not quite a real person. 'I am not what I am' are his own enigmatic but significant words.

Iago's sentence is the direct negative of what Shakespeare says of himself in a sonnet which is admittedly autobiographical: 'I am that I am.' 'There is no man hath a virtue that he hath not a glimpse of, nor any man an attaint but he carries some stain of it.' To represent him otherwise is a pious fiction; it must go its way with those forms of idolatry which make him out an accomplished scholar, a trained lawyer, an expounder in dramatic allegories of the Platonic philosophy, or a profound political thinker. In all these matters he gives out the impressions made on him by the life about him. His painter in Timon is brilliantly true to life, but about painting he obviously knew little and cared less. Of music, from 'Sneak's noise' to ditties

Sung by a fair queen in a summer's bower, With ravishing division, to her lute,

he writes delightfully, but never like a musician. His age, like our own, was greatly concerned with the theory and practice of education; his own chief contribution to the subject is perhaps in the short dialogue—

Canst not read?

No.

There will little learning die then, that day thou art hanged.

Legal phraseology, as was the habit of his age, he uses copiously, even to excess; but his law, as distinct from this, is either taken straight from the story or chronicle he was dramatizing, or is frank stage-law, poetical justice unknown to any court or code. Equally baseless is the assumption of his anti-democratic temper. In the follies of his mobs, as in the sarcasms of his aristocrats, he reflects the spirit of his audience whether at Whitehall or at the Bankside. It is only a further exemplification of this, that in his later work the tone changes, and he sounds, in *Lear* and else-

where e'n passionate pity for the poor. That note is his swift to pulse of the ground-swell of the new democracy. The Tudor dyn's y ad become extinct, and with it the iron Tudor rest on and reaction had come to an end; the revocation had come to an end; the revocation polys, as in the earlier, Shakespeare is still giving out which he received; he makes vocal, personifies, vitalizes the impressional actual environment. Like the poet in Timon—a sletch is its companion portrait of the painter—one seems to be whim say of his own work:

A thing slipped idly from me.

If for y is as a gum, which cozes

my ence 'tis nourished. The fire i' the flint
out till it be struck: our gentle flame
itself, and, like the current, flies
cound it chafes.

Research away with the old thoughtless idea that the body of washing under Shakespeare's name is all his. Common A control of the more extravagant fancy that it embodies Summa had pologiae, a system of human nature and a directary for lander. Yet that work in its massed total has another if a subthinked of unity. It is not, any more than with the Iliad and Odystily, the unity of a tunica inconsutilis. The amount of non-Shakespeanan work in what is called Shakespeare is considerable; this is so alike in the earlier period when he was adapting and piecing out older men's work, in the later period when younger men were doing the same with his, and even between the two, where the stage-toy! the has survived has been altered for performance hy members of the company of by irresponsible actors. Kemp the comedian is the have been turned out of the company of the Globe because gagged to an extent beyond what the playwights and his low actors could stand; and this was just after he had made an at success in the 'creation,' to use the modern slong, of Doll red. How much of our Dogberry is Shakespeare, how much R at ? Macbeth has notoriously reached us in a mutilated form, w | interpolations as well as cuts; and whether the gag in the famou cene of the knocking at the gate is Shakespeare's own, or not. artly both, is a question which will always be argued and aly be interesting. The unity of Shakespeare (again. take the unit of Homer) is that of the Shakespearian touch, the hakespearum aspiration, which spreads through and vivifies all

the work he laid his finger upon. By merely passing his hand over a play, he made it different; he Shakespearianized it Hince what. to borrow a phrase from another art, may be called the flooding of his colour in composite work. Between what i Jure Shakespeare and what is wholly non-Shakespearian the d obvious as it is immense. But who will undertake to of 2 Henry VI, whether we are faced with Marlo Shakespeare, or Shakespeare writing like Marlowe in King John? in parts of Henry VIII, with Fletcher near as he could) like Shakespeare, or Shakespeare he easily could if he chose) like Fletcher? A few master hand have worked wonders in the coarse tragedy of Titus Andronicus. The scenes which he Wilkins' Pericles send out as it were streamers at light up the whole play and make us glad to read 🖣 again what, without this irradiation, we should have i i that patience to read twice. No other dramatist of the flooding and irradiating power. When they collar 7. High nithing either mixed mechanically, or combined, at best i which does not bear the impress of a single welding. ntrolla z genius. In the Two Noble Kinsmen, the sharp lines. 2 44526 m hat so distinct that one can even see where Fletcher !! dozen lines in a Shakespearian scene-show that ally infinjoint work, one which Shakespeare partly wrote an i enced, but a case of Fletcher stringing togethe. witing up into a play of his own sort, detached scenes who \$ (RY) 18C had written, and had very probably left in the Global in my an ing other unregarded trifles.

We can best Appreciation must be based on comprehension. honour, as we can only appreciate Shakespeare, b 1(1311, 1) This is not a portentous platitude; for it is what We all read in him, which is a different thing; we have ful into him, which is a different thing again, and a fre dance our The Poct Laurente, in the preface to his, irit of Mon. gives the pointed and wise caution that these are daters to hathe rather than to fish in. No one has begun to under tand Singlespeare who has not read his plays as a whole, as a light body of work. Needless difficulties have been put in the wax of doing this by the artificial and often preposterous order in which, ever since their first collection, they have been arranged. He loses by this much as the Old and New Testament do; at least frontd be so if people ever read either the Bible or Shakespeard from end to , But people would be more likely to do that, as they could do fresh understanding, if both volumes were not set out with

h almost he sic disregard of order and chronology.

dae and order of the plays are not indeed fully Grups overlap: the precise place of a play within the incertain; and with some at least, which were not revised, it may be arguable whether to place them in the incertain and subject to a margin of crior in the great, it is possible to read the plays through their composition: and to do so opens Shakespeare world. He becomes solid and continuous: the planes come off. I lines of growth tell, the methods manifest them no little moment to see his work thus unroll itself. Without the gence that pours in from this large continuous readmines are one may in his own words reach deep and little. To bathe in Shakespeare is different in different and wallowing in him. It is

a course more promising a wild dedication of yourselves unpath'd waters, undream'd shores:

nor, voyag" thing of the her with such a clue, do shores and waters lese any-

The four g fiest plays are trial-pieces; careful experiments in four different tramatic forms, on three at least of which he spent much with in evision and remodelling. He begins with the mixed drama deril ism and satue—what would now be called a revue in Louis La our's Lost, then takes up romantic comedy in the Two Gentlen , romantic tragedy in Romeo and Juliet, and traditional Park comedy in the Comedy of Errors. After thus feeling if we and proving his competence, he works mainly on English plays for the new Rose Theatre for about three years. Fifth e adapts and revises plays already produced, 1etouching Tys. remodelling Peele and Greene, collaborating with Marlower that entirely rewrites an older King John, and carries forward the fries unassisted in Richard II and Richard III. "Next, letting loose as it were the accumulated pressure of femantic imagination, he flowers out into A Midsummer Night's Dream, the loveliest and most exquisitely finished of all peetic romances. After some light work in comedy, a marked break follows, the only one in the twenty years of his dramatic activity.

Then he resumes history in the double play of Henry IV with richness and amplitude. The Globe Theatre is huil. and have comes a full partner in the ownership and management. For its opening season he writes the great spectacular history of Henry V, and follows it up with the three central comedies, all coluced, with incredible speed, in little more than a year. He risk hen thirty-five, just at the mezzo del camin di nostra vita the annus, mirabilis of his life, and of the English drama.

Then he makes a swift transition.

He was dispos'd to mirth, but on the su A Roman thought hath struck him,

and with Julius Caesar he opens the period of the great trageores. They were written for what had become a more encated, more intelligent, probably more exacting audience; and more particularly, for production before a Court which, in a time empty, historians tell us, of political events, was giving not training patronage but serious attention to the drama. 'These three the makes Hamlet say in 1602, 'I have taken note of it, the grown so picked that the toe of the peasant comes near the neel of the courtier': and the courtier too (as in Hamlet) was imposing his own choice of treatment on the playwright. Shakespeare moved on the crest of the wave. Hamlet is not only a tremendous reaction from Twelfth Night, it is the recognition of a new with new requirements. Troilus and Cressida, following on the by-product or backwash of that gigantic achievements a few years earlier the Merry Wives had been of Henry I a few . years later Timon is of Lear. The new reign carried wanted movement already begun. The 'princely' drama of samont shows the culmination of the influence to which Shakes are had already fully responded when Othello, Macbeth, and were were produced before the Court at Whitehall. In the up trama-a name applicable here if anywhere—of Antony and copatra, tragedy is expanding into something beyond itself. are on the brink of a new dramatic revolution. Within the ame, year, *Philaster* took the world captive by a fresh and exclusions thramatic manner. After it, Shakespeare writes no more tracedies.

The vogue of Beaumont's great colleague had then begund To Fletcher's agile flexible workmanship Shakespeare haves notice the jealousy of an older artist, none of that suspicion of methods which is so common among writers of established position. He responded to this influence as to others. In the opening some of Coriolanus there are traces of Fletcher's manner, if not of his

actual hand. When Shakespeare retired Fletcher formally succeeded him as head dramatist of the company. The brief age of high concentration was over. In twenty years the English drama passed from the fiery dawn of Marlowe to the moonlit dusk of Massinger. The interval was its day, the day of Shakespeare. Before it faded away into the comedy of manners and the tragedy of sentiment, it had put out new growths: for Court representations, the masque; for popular audiences, loosely woven melodramatic romance. This change of current also Shakespeare followed before he quitted the thcatre. He put a few pages of his own finest work into an artless and ill-written chronicle-romance by a hack-writer. He produced, in Cymbeline and The Winter's Tale, two beautiful romances of his own, adapting for the latter the sketch of a tragedy perhaps already written. In The Tempest he recognized and, as it were, sanctioned the masque before he finally gave the reins of dramatic control into the hands of the after-born.

His own last appearance on the stage is believed to have been in this piece. In the epilogue to it, which, though spoken by Prospero, is not part of the play and is not necessarily dramatic, we seem for once to hear Shakespeare's own voice, the voice of one making his final acquiescence:

> Now my charms are all o'erthrown And what strength I have 's my own, Which is most faint. Now I want Spirits to enforce, art to enchant.

'We are Time's subjects, and time bids begone.' The lines may be set beside and balanced against what is the earliest extant piece of Shakespeare's writing, the opening words of Lore's Labour's Lost:

Let fame, that all hunt after in their lives, Live registered upon our brazen tombs And then grace us in the disgrace of death.

It is tempting to read into these lines a preluding trumpet-flourish of his own young ambition; but though tempting, unjustified. They are Shakespeare catching and repeating (yet repeating, as always, with a difference) the accent of Marlowe. But the fame that was in his own mind was likely, at the time, less that to be gained by 'still climbing after knowledge infinite' than the more obvious glory of Tamburlaine's copper-laced coat and crimson velvet breeches—one of the earliest sights to dazzle his eyes when he came to London. The Sonnets show him wincing

under the soilure of an actor's profession, yet real that all fame, great and small, is alike transitory, and

lays great bases for eternity
Which prove more short than waste or ruining

From the early days when he was

Like one that stands upon a promontory And spies a far-off shore where he would treed until the end, we seem to hear him saying

On:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf Things} & that are past are done with m_t: \\ and if he dallied with the fancy that \\ \end{tabular}$

Time, with his fairer hand Offering the fortunes of his former days, The former man may make him,

he was surely too cognizant of life to dream of any Medea's magic that 'embalms and spices to the April-day again'

From first to last Shakespeare is not an inventor or innovator. He follows all the inventions, takes them up and weighs them, puts into them, where he uses them, his own masterly technique, his own vitality. It is the same with his poems. Venus and Adams ; modelled on Lodge; Lucrece, even more closely, on Daniel. The composition of the Sonnets was in any case after the sonnetsequence had become fashionable, and according to what seems the most reasonable view, was after that poetical method had passed its climax and begun to be old-fashioned. Perhaps his only innovation in poetical form—and it was one which he took up lightly, and which had no great result—was the unrhymed sonnet, of which two exquisite specimens may be found, by those wh sill look for them, in the Two Gentlemen and the first pur of Henry IV. In the management of metre indeed -in his indeed g and development of the flexible dramatic blank verse—he (); ed as well as perfected. The secret of his later versification remains his, and all attempts to recreate it have been vain. Other wise, it is almost as though he deliberately refused to make any new experiments of his own. What was about him, in art as in life, was good enough for him.

Sufflaminandus erat, 'the brake had to be put on him,' Is Joneson's remark on Shakespeare's amazing fluency.

Faster than spring-time showers comes thought on thought,

and the expression never lags behind. Words were with him like persons and things; none escaped his notice, none did not impress him, none slipped his memory. His vocabulary still remains the largest of any English author; in light and in serious use, he pours it out with equally facile mastery. Listen to it in the mouth of Prince Hal, pretending to speak in his father's person:

There is a devil haunts thee in the likeness of an old fat man, a tun of man is thy companion; why dost thou converse with that trunk of humours, that bolting-hutch of beastliness, that swollen parcel of dropsies, that huge bombard of sack, that stuffed cloakbag of guts, that roasted Manningtree ox with the pudding in his belly, that reverend vice, that grey iniquity, that father ruffian, that vanity in years?

and compare that torrent of dancing language with the gravely copious eloquence of a serious speech:

Piety and fear,
Religion to the gods, peace, justice, truth,
Domestic awe, night-rest and neighbourhood,
Instruction, manners, mysteries and trades,
Degrees, observances, customs and laws:

or with another passage not more nobly expressed though more widely known:

Degree, priority, and place,
Insisture, course, proportion, season, form,
Office and custom, in all line of order;
The unity and married calm of states,
Degrees in schools, and brotherhoods in cities,
Peaceful commerce from dividable shores,
The primogenitive and due of birth,
Prerogative of age, crowns, sceptres, laurels.

That figantic superflux of language never spreads out into the stagnation of verbosity; it is never 'chough's language, gabble enough and good enough'; for every word in the swarm is alive and stings. His words

as pages followed him Even at the heels, in golden multitudes,

. and they

enter in our ears like great triumplers. In their applauding gates.

ippear) that

tris only one

illuminates.

The impressions of language, spoken or written, air, fast as 'tis ministered.' Even in his involved the keeps that sheer mastery, never

like one lost in a thorny wood wing, through That rents the thorns and is sent with the trict meaning, Seeking a way and straying from the war clo, are alike Not knowing how to find the open air, But toiling desperately to find it out;

but rather, as has been picturesquely said of through the forest of words like a thunderbolt, containing out of shape if they don't fit in, melting moods and terms and leaving.

people to gape at the transformation.'

And so, when he puts the brake on, he can concentrate this power, and charge half a dozen simple words with all the accumulated force that he holds in reserve. An accomplished critic has cited the description in Mr. Conrad's Typhoon of the continuous roar of the elements swallowing up all other sounds, the contrasts with that claborate and impressive passage a link at a half of Shakespeare:

- The seaman's whistle
Is as a whisper in the ears of death,
Unheard.

'This is the lion's claw,' he adds; 'no other man rould so strike with words.' In many such strokes—from the awful 'And Cassandra laughed' of Pandarus, to Albany's soundless 'Even so: cover their faces,' or the whisper of Imogen 'I have I dream,' a few words of extreme simplicity carry in them an uniqualled series.

of vastness, an all but intolerable poignancy.

'His mind and hand went together,' Shakespedie's colleagues wrote of him. But no hand, not even his, could keep horeast of his swift envisagement of dramatic action, or of the original way as that rushed to express it. More and more, as he him, if not unable, at least too impatient to deplet and formal Language poured in on him faster than he could public down, and he came more and more to drive through it, one thought or image treading so hard on the heels of another that they became mergal and fused. Just the same thing happened to his versalization. The metrical pattern is always there, but as the loom flies it is crush into vast deviations. Many passages in which we still feel the metrical structure can only be printed as prose, because the rhythms of speech have outrun the framework and got mine

pactry word in pass of the pattern. But in the most irregular the dity and irr is not really lost, it only is submerged and reh as regards putting thoughts into words and as g words into verse, he gives the impression of the of a speech or a scene rising in his mind together, ing down on paper as much of it as he ean, in what he can. His apprehension is simultaneous, not And this applies to the action as well as to the language of the later plays, give the later plays, give the later plays, give the later plays, to rise before the dramatist as a single complex aslating this into concrete actable form he is obliged into sequence, but he does not aim at more than renec, than the degree of consecutiveness that satisfies If analysed further the action in the plays presents tencies, sometimes even impossibilities. That Shakeso was from no deep plan. Yet ait here onee more justifies the artist: for it is just this massed, partially eatment which, as much as anything else, keeps his suggesting mechanism and makes them so startling a The vague dissatisfaction left (as its best admirers by As You Like It is due less to any particular flaw than a subconscious impression of artificial flawlessness. inconsistencies which no ingenuity can explain away in Othello or Hamlet give these plays no slight part of their arresting and compelling power; they give, in a way that no other dramatist (unless at be Sophocles) has ever equalled, the awful and enigmatic quality of life. They keep us from ensconeing ourselves into seeming knowledge when we should submit ourselves to an unknown

the sets before us is life. Cruelty, falsehood, inhumanity, the sets before us is life. Cruelty, falsehood, inhumanity, they are represented by him, as are heroism, truth, but they are neither approved nor condemned, they are only at layed, as causes with their effects, or it may be with apparent effectlessness. Lady Capulet's plan to have Romeo point all his Roman prisoners, are presented without comment, and produce no result. The lesson, if it can be called one, of Shakespears (as of Sophoeles) is that we should not draw lessons, but so and cel and understand. Their attitude towards the virtues is that they are virtues, that good is different from evil. If it is

part of the scheme of things (as does not always prear) that there is a power which works for righteousness, that is only one fact of life like others. Shakespeare does not teach, it illuminates. In his clear daylight we see the world. The exaltation with which even his darkest tragedies leave us comes of our hoing, through him, seen it as it is, neither good nor bad in any shict meaning, but wonderful. Goneil and Coidelia, Iago and Othello, are alikeparts of life: 'he maketh his sun to rise on the ergood, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjunot the lesson of Shakespeare, but the lesson of life, us through that image of life which Shakespeare fore us, that good is not only different from evil, be at the evil.

Nor, any more than he is a teacher of morals, is a teacher of patriotism. The love and praise of Engmakes his great Englishmen utter are theirs, not his; them copress themselves as none but he could do minds of idolatry, we must take into account not only, too familiar for citation, too august for praise.

England, hedged in with the main That water-walled bulwark, still secure And confident from foreign purposes:

This England never did nor never shall Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror:

This royal throne of kings, this scepted of isle, England, bound in with the triumphant sea:

not only these, but the representations, equally symplequally dramatic, of the merely vulgar attitude of one's native country, and of the name insular preforeigners—the swagger about the boy (not yet borgo to Constantinople and take the Turk by the liginorant concert which sets down all Frenchmen as Germans as sots, and all Italians as fiends. Fillumination and inspiration, at a time like the preturn neither to one nor the other. These may be the expression of a temper at once simpler and large two instances?

One is the Gloucestershire recruit, with his sour inarticulate speech, the true ancestor of hundreds his countrymen now who have never read Shakes, never thought much or deeply, whom eloquence .

inited towards judice against in that shall heard, and the initial garts, all pratie highest on pure would out ather in er. May I cite

t heart and his of thousands of care, who have rhetoric, and

leave quite unstirred, but who, like him, know their

n man can die but once. We owe God a death: I'll se mind; an't be my destiny, so; an't be not, so. No I to serve his prince; and let it go which way it will, s year is quit for the next.

thou'rt a good fellow,' answers the non-commis-

I the recruiting party.

the speech of the Greek commander-in-chief, when stinate war was dragging heavily, when early hopes been falsified, and the national councils were diseled arms thwarted, by wrangling and recriminations:

Princes,

rief hath set the jaundice on your cheeks? ple proposition that hope makes esigns begun on earth below the promised largeness; checks and disasters the veins of actions highest reared. minces, is it matter new to us at a come short of our suppose so far That, after seven years' siege, yet Troy walls stand: Sith every action that hath gone before Whereof we have record, trial did draw Bias and thwart, not answering the aim And that unbodied figure of the thought That give 't surmised shape. Why then, you princes, Do you with cheeks abashed behold our works, And them shames, which are indeed nought else But protractive trials of great Jove To sincersistive constancy in men?

metriotism

Once more, sespeare here does not teach; he illuminates. The lesson of life, he fact of life, which he lights up for us is that patriotism of only different from, but better than, want of does not teach this as a lesson; he presents it as

And in the ons, if we will call them so, or the fa ons, if we will call them so, or the facts of life, the

> the ill spirit have so fair a house, things will strive to dwell with 't.

To the lips of her generation comes the ecstatic cry:

world

O wonder! How many goodly creatures are there How beauteous mankind is! O brave n That has such people in 't!

and the older generation may realize this and Prospero does to Miranda, with no accent of sad with no trace even of some superior indulge thankfulness,

"Tis new to thee.

Perhaps, when all is said, attempts to rectif dismiss and cancel outworn idolatries, only lead some fresh idolatry of our own. They leave us a feeling little short of adoration. 'I would a though I profess myself his adorer, not his fri eessors of the last three hundred years often p (as they blamed him) amiss:

> Cats, that can judge as fitly of his As I can of those mysteries which h Will not have earth to know.

The mistake to which we, like them, are subjeat all. No words said of him are more exactly one who, in the last generation, was his most imp most eloquent interpreter. After exhausting of hyperboles of laudation, all glitter and pomp burne, as a poet and not as a panegyrist, wrote of what is the last and the unsurpassable word:

> His praise is this, he can be praised of tione. Man, woman, child, praise God for him but he Exults not to be worshipped, but to be. He is; and being, beholds his work well

'I cannot last ever,' says Falstaff, in one of his wit and insight: 'it was alway yet the trick of our if they have a good thing, to make it too common some good things that cannot be made too common! and that do last One of these is Shakespeare.

ay answer, as

or of saicasin, but with full

r judgment, to s established in all events, with e him nothing, .' Our predeed Shakespeare

h ₽n

is to praise him te than those of ioned lover and Shakespeare all rhetoric, Swinnim more simply

one.

cross-flashes of English nation, But there are